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Goals & Objectives of this Session:

- Provide you an understanding of why school district consolidation continues to be advocated by some as a way to save state dollars

- Provide you with a understanding of what the research says regarding school consolidation

- Provide you with strategies that will help advocate the important role of small school districts in the communities they serve

- Provide time for questions and answers!
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

- Between 1910 & 1994, the number of districts in WA State declined from 2,710 to 296
- 1993 Legislative Session: A measure was introduced (but not passed) that would have dissolved school districts (not schools) with enrollments of 150 or less in grades K-8
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

- Following the 1991 legislative session, the State Board of Ed. created a School District Reorganization Committee that met from Oct. 1993 to Dec. 1994
- See report of this committee.
- Here are some interesting conclusions form the SBE report...
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

“Looking back on the history of consolidation in WA, it is quite reasonable to conclude that further reductions in the number of school districts is unlikely…especially if the goal is to save money or redirect anticipated savings into classrooms.”
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

“...the state has essentially reached the end of the line on significant savings through consolidation.”

“One of the primary aims of education restructuring is more community involvement...forced consolidation is the wrong flow given the direction of ESHB 1209”
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

“For the reasons outlined in this report, the School District Reorganization Committee strongly recommend that the state not make any changes in law which would further mandate school district consolidations”
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

- In 1997—the total number of school districts is reduced by one…
- Vader School District (K-8) is “eliminated”
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

2009 Legislative Session

Governor Gregoire includes in her budget proposal language stating that the OSPI superintendent shall make recommendation for a streamlined school district consolidation process to:

- Reduce operating costs
- Reduce administrative duplication
- Create efficiencies to offer better programmatic opportunities for students
- Recommendations shall also include specific proposals for realigned school districts
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

2010 Legislative Session

Rep. Sam Hunt introduces HB 2616

- Would create a commission on statewide school district reorganization
- This commission shall develop and recommend a comprehensive plan that shall result in no more than 150 school districts
- The plan shall also result in reorganization of the number & boundaries of ESDs

SB 6444 (Senate Budget Bill)

- Included language that creates a commission on statewide school district reorganization
- Establishes a School District Reorganization Commission that is directed to develop and recommend a comprehensive plan to reorganize and reduce school districts in our state
Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

2010 Legislative Session

- SB 6444 is Passed by the Legislature

- On May 4 the Governor Vetoes the Language that would have created the “consolidation commission”

- View Governor’s comments during press conference right after she signed the final budget…
Governor Gregoire Bill Signing Ceremony

EVENT INFORMATION
Event Date: May 04, 2010 12:00pm
Event Description: Governor Gregoire takes action on the following legislation: 2561, 2836, 6444, 6872.
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Quick Review of Consolidation Efforts to Date in Washington State

Summer of 2010

- The Joint Legislative Audit Review Committee (JLARC) releases a final report regarding the relationship between the cost of school districts and their enrollment size...
- OFM & Governor’s Office…public hearings on “transforming Washington’s budget”
- Oct. 14: Governor Gregoire is interviewed & makes some interesting comments..
EVENT INFORMATION

Event Date:
October 14, 2010 7:00pm

Event Description:
Austin Jenkins hosts Governor Chris Gregoire for an in-depth one-hour interview on the fiscal crisis, state budget hole, government service cuts, efforts to reform state government, and the governor's recent trade mission to Asia.

RUNTIME: 56 min
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Recently, this publication was released...
RESTRUCTURING IDEAS

1. **School and district consolidation**, efficiency and partnership. Increase inter-district cost-sharing through effective use of Educational Service Districts (ESD) and other entities or mechanisms. Look at ways to improve ESDs and use them to achieve greater cross-district efficiencies and effectiveness. **Consider state funding formula changes to increase local pressure toward consolidation of districts, schools and/or programs.** (Education)
RESTRICTURING IDEAS

2. Decide whether we want state or local control. State control - focus on consolidation and use a master compensation contract for all teachers (much like recommended by Dan Grimm in the January 2009 education reform report). Local levies only used for extracurricular programs. Facilities would be a state responsibility. OSPI becomes a cabinet agency for direct accountability to the Governor. Local control - switch to funding the students directly versus the education infrastructure with funding weighted for particular needs. The dollars would follow the child to the public school of their choice. A school could lose the ability to receive the state funds if it doesn’t meet certain performance requirements. Districts decide personnel and compensation and only are subject to the regulations that private school currently are. Parents would be required to notify districts/OSPI of the school of their choice in May so teacher staffing decisions could be made for the next school year. In either case, any school that graduates a child that requires remedial education at the two- or four-years would be responsible for the cost of the remedial education. (Education)
Quick Review of the Research

What Works in Washington State

Focus on Rural School Graduation Rates (DRAFT)

This is the first of four briefs translating research into practice for interested stakeholders. The goal of the briefs is to provide information for making informed decisions with regard to What Works in Washington State Rural Schools.

Washington State rural schools last year reported the highest average and median percent of on-time graduates. The leading schools in the State, with the highest graduation rates were those from census defined rural and remote schools “more than 25 miles from an urbanized area and is also more than 10 miles from an urban cluster”. These 77 schools reported on-time graduation rates of 77.4% with a median rate of 64.1%.

The 49 rural and distant schools were next, averaging 66.9% with a median rate of 78.6% for graduating student’s on-time. The State’s third highest rate of on-time graduation rates were reported by the 27 schools locally described as in town and distant graduating 64.2% on average with a median rate of 72.0%.

Research regarding the consequences for students not graduating from high school have found that over their lifetime these student will earn $260,000 less than an individual with a high school diploma. Based on the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) reports of Washington State schools during 2007-2008 there were 22,938 students who dropped out of school in grades 7-12. By these students not graduating, Washington State’s loss of income from these students over a lifetime equates to $5,965,988,000.

What are the consolidation issues?

Most Prevalent Reasons

**BENEFITS**

- More efficient use of public funds through economies of scale
- Lower per-pupil costs
- Expanded curriculum
- Expanded extra-curricular activities
- Higher salaries/benefits for teachers
- More specialized teachers and staff
- Better instructional materials and equipment
- More resources for advanced and special needs students
- Greater cultural diversity
- Lower teacher turnover
- Student achievement and engagement

**LIABILITIES**

- Higher transportation costs
- Time lost to busing
- Less parent-teacher interaction
- Less community support for schools and education bond issues
- Adverse community economic consequences: lower housing values, more pressure on property tax base
- Declines in enrollment over time
- Failure to achieve significant long-term savings from economies of scale
- Significant one-time costs: signage, uniforms, stationary, websites

“In places where the school is the sole source of community services, loss of the schools would be greatly felt. School officials in such cases should be reluctant to consolidate.”

What does it all mean?

Overall, the research can be summarized as follows:

1. Research supports that each case should be reviewed on its own merits:
   • **Economy of size is greatest when small districts merge, but there is a “point of diminishing returns.”**
   • **Student performance is equal or better in smaller schools.**
   • **Considerations beyond finances should be part of the consolidation deliberation.**

2. Consolidation should be locally driven:
   • **Districts must weigh factors such as tax increases, salary increases, loss of State aid, etc.**
   • **Boards should meet to determine if there are sufficient benefits.**
   • **Conduct a comprehensive feasibility study to examine the issue.**

3. Legislative Policies, State Support, and Regional Support are critical:
   • **Financial incentives supporting districts when economic and educational may exist for consolidation.**
   • **State funds for district start-ups seem appropriate under certain circumstances that include funds for feasibility studies.**
   • **Provide special aid to districts on a recurring, not just a one-time basis when economic and educational benefits exist for consolidation.**
   • **Study should be given to the viability of region-wide servicing of functions (i.e. printing, technology, bulk purchasing, sharing staff, data analysis, etc.).**

“evidence suggests that the growing monopolization of the public education market through school district consolidation has led to a deterioration in the quality of public schools.”

Sample of Research Findings

See page 3 of Dr. Bill Keim’s “Policy Considerations” handout for a summary of the research in these areas:

Cost-Effectiveness

Student Achievement

Program Quality

Related Social & Community Impacts
Administrative Staffing
Historical Trends
Small School Survey Results
Mapping Consolidation
Size vs. Proximity
Conclusions

John Dekker
WASA
Washington State Education Staffing
Percent Change in FTE/1000 students from 1973-74 to 2009-10
Source: OSPI Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2009-10 School Year
Small Schools’ Survey Results
Current Map Washington School Districts

295 Districts
Smallest = 7 FTEs
Largest = 43,772 FTEs
Consolidation by Size - Illustration

150 Districts
Smallest = 1,162 FTEs
Largest = 43,772 FTEs
203 Districts Affected
Consolidation by Proximity - Illustration

150 Districts
Smallest = 18 FTEs
Largest = 140,412 FTEs
201 Districts Affected
Resources & Strategies

Small School Districts Are Successful

Washington’s Small School Districts: Ensuring a Future For Our Kids And Our Communities

Small Schools are Successful
- Small schools are linked to high achievement and low drop-out rates\(^1\)
- Small school graduates are more likely to go to college and perform well\(^1\)
- Small schools are particularly effective for students from low-income families and for students of color, helping to reduce the achievement gap\(^1\)

Small Schools are Cost Effective
Savings through innovation:
- Educational Service Districts provide support to reduce administrative and program delivery costs
- Small schools reduce administrative costs through cooperative services and shared or part-time administrators
- When practical, student academic and activity programs are combined for added efficiency
- Distance learning opportunities supplement curriculum offered on site

Savings through local control:
- Operational cost per graduate is often less than that of larger districts\(^2\)
- Potential savings of administrative costs in larger districts are offset by the costs of security, facilities maintenance, and operations\(^2\)
- Neighborhood schools reduce transportation costs\(^2\)

Opportunity for Participation: A Strength of Small Schools
- Student and parent participation in school activities is high in small community schools\(^4\)
- Students who participate in activities at school have higher achievement, are less likely to drop out, have higher self-esteem, attend school more regularly, and have fewer behavior problems\(^1\)
Resources & Strategies

What Works in Washington State

Focus on Rural School Graduation Rates

This is the first of four briefs translating research into practice for interested stakeholders. The goal of the briefs is to provide information for making informed decisions with regard to What Works in Washington State Rural Schools.

Washington State rural schools last year reported the highest average and median percent of on-time graduates. The leading schools in the State, with the highest graduation rates were those from census defined rural and remote schools “more than 25 miles from an urbanized area and is also more than 10 miles from an urban cluster”. These 77 schools reported on-time graduation rates of 77.4% with a median rate of 84.1%. The 69 rural and distant schools were next, averaging 66.8% with a median rate of 78.6% for graduating student’s on-time. The State’s third highest rate of on-time graduation rates were reported by the 27 schools locally described as in town and distant graduating 64.5% on average with a median rate of 72.0%.

Research regarding the consequences for students not graduating from high school have found that over their lifetime these student will earn $260,000 less than an individual with a high school diploma. Based on the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) reports of Washington State schools during 2007-2008 there were 22,938 students who dropped out of school in grades 7-12. By these students not graduating, Washington State’s loss of income from these students over a lifetime equates to $5,963,880,000.

---

Questions?